UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,449 07/08/2003		Robert T. Baum	01-1518	1038
25537 VERIZON	7590 08/05/200	9	EXAMINER	
	NAGEMENT GROUP		LIPMAN, JACOB	
1320 North Court House Road 9th Floor ARLINGTON, VA 22201-2909			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2434	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/05/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@verizon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/616,449		BAUM, ROBERT T.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JACOB LIPMAN	2434	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on t	he cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 July 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATIO	N IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sam application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11 periods:	1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of t b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than the statutory period for reply expires	ction, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than thre may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance w	e months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed,
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the <u>AMENDMENTS</u>	ereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form	on and/or search (see NOTE below);
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspo NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See	
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable in non-allowable claim(s). 	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will n how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided bel The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 2-5,7,9-13,16-18 and 32-37. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficie was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nt reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and wa	e <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the s REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	·
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does N See Continuation Sheet.	
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTO/SB13. ☐ Other:	//∪8) Paper No(s)
	/Jacob Lipman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2434

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner feels that the references read on the claim language. Paragraph 55 of Tuck discloses that the same employee will see different web pages in different physical locations. The claim states that the information is "stored in association with edge router and port information", which Tuck discloses at outlined in the office action. The claim does not state that the database lookup is given the edge router and port information as search criteria. Applicant further argues that the transmitting a request of claim 7 is substantially different that the database lookup of Tuck and claim 2. The examiner does not agree, as if he did, he would have restricted the claims.